EAST-CENTRAL EUROPE

INSTITUTIONAL INNOVATION IN CENTRAL EUROPE*

The prolonged transition in Central and Eastern Europe kept higher education in turmoil. Parliaments are already debating amendments to the freshly enacted higher education laws, and governments experiment with different ways of making the system more effective and responsive. Leaders of public opinion are even more divided on various strategies of expansion and/or restriction, calling for more elite provisions or for building massive structures. Meanwhile, little is known about reform efforts at the level of institutions. Although the challenge and attraction to renew programs and organizations is clear, the potential innovators face formidable dilemmas and roadblocks. Building new institutions when sponsorship for public services is ever more limited, answering new and changing demands, building new academic traditions without solid foundations are missionary acts, calling for international praise and support.

In 1994 the Institute for Human Sciences in Vienna developed, with the financial support of the Korber Foundation (Hamburg), the Hannah Arendt Prize as a means of recognizing institutions that have distinguished themselves through self-initiated reform projects.** The Prize is awarded annually to the most remarkable institutional innovation in the humanities, social sciences, or public policy programs. Based on the information that has been gathered through the nomination process, we began analyzing the possibilities, strategies and problems of institutional innovation in four countries of the Central European region: the Czech Republic, Hungary, Poland, and Slovakia.****

The humanities offer challenging fields for renewal, as political control under the Communist regimes had caused the most damaging effects here. The humanities and social sciences were pushed to the periphery of academia, as science and technology were given dominant support and attention. Academic distinction and excellence have been thwarted, independence would often yield sanctions instead of rewards; academic training was intended to serve rather all-pervasive ideological and political goals. The curricular framework was distorted as disciplines and intellectual fields were "vocationalized".

Not surprisingly, the examined case studies offered a wide range of general goals for innovators. We began to analyze the following successful institutional innovations:

** The prize is part of the Institute's larger project on "The Transformation of the National Higher Education and Research Systems of Central Europe"

^{*} First version published in International Higher Education.

and Research Systems of Central Europe".

*** Our analysis is aimed at developing a foundation for a more systematic research into a better understanding of the main strategies and problems of institutional innovation, as well as to formulate recommendations on how to support innovative practices, help the best institutions to gain further acknowledgement, and to establish fora of information exchange.

- Building entirely new institutions: the case of a new Slovakian institute, the Academia Istropolitana, represents one of the most ambitious undertakings. There, the leaders' main goal has been to challenge traditional higher educational institutions by responding more flexibly to the demands of the labor market and offering training in previously "obscure" programs like public administration, applied economics or environmental policy.
- Restructuring disciplines: such an endeavor was undertaken by the Center for Economic Research and Graduate Education at Charles University, in Prague, to provide, under the guidance of mostly US-based scholarship, a more professional economics training that may set the standards for the entire region.
- Systematizing doctoral studies. The Graduate School for Social Research in Warsaw
 combines the previously segregated resources of the best universities in the country and
 the research institutes of the Academy of Sciences to provide a structured program of
 doctoral training in various fields of social science research.
- Replacing old hierarchies of knowledge: the Institute of Fundamental Learning was established at Charles University to replace the Marxist-oriented social science and humanities curriculum in bachelor's training and offer new master's programs.
- Diversifying undergraduate programs: renowned social scientists from the research institutes of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences joined with the leadership of a Teacher Training College in the small border town of Szombathely to contribute to the College's planned expansion by renewing old social science programs and developing a new field, the Program of European Studies.
- Creating new foundations for high level knowledge: the Center for Theoretical Studies in Prague was established as an institution for advanced studies, to develop the theoretical foundations for the sciences and humanities across disciplines.
- Promoting excellence in student development: the best traditions of the elite colleges
 within the existing framework of universities have been revived in Budapest by the
 Invisible College and by the Rajk Laszlo College of Advanced Studies, both of which
 promote the traditions of excellence, self-governance, and new pedagogical and teaching
 methods, as well as new ways of cooperation between students and faculty.

Most of these new institutions and programs have been established during the last five to six years and appear, for now, to be constrained only by the limited sources of support. Yet our experience, learning from these innovative institutions, is that money may not be the only critical factor or not even the most important one.

Focus on Leadership

Most innovations have relied heavily on the formal or spiritual guidance of top managers, usually the founders of the new institutions. These leaders often feel that the legitimacy of the institution is based on their exclusive understanding of its goals and operations. They feel that an over-regulated internal structure would only slow things down. The founders, although coming from the academic community, often use political influence and networking capabilities to create their institutions and to maintain support for them. Therefore, their work involves struggles in the ever-changing external political arena. This process calls for more flexible but also less transparent internal organizational and management structures. The prominence of leaders could put the existence of the new institutions at risk for leaders can decide to quit before building a solid organizational and administrative structure.

In the absence of appropriate professional support, such people are vulnerable to personal or political criticism.

Recruitment strategies

The excellence of innovative academic institutions is evident in their recruitment strategies. Although new institutions cannot afford to employ full-time faculty for their ambitious training programs, they do not need to do so, because they can survive with part-time staff. Members of the academic community have been strongly motivated to find alternative forms of professional involvement and income: (1) to compensate for the lack of incentives to achieve excellence elsewhere and (2) to supplement their low salaries in both relative and absolute terms. Educators typically teach in several training sites, and scholars try to "sell" their professional expertise as consultants. Under these conditions, innovative academic initiatives can offer new sources of both income and acknowledgement.

The eight innovative institutions claim up to 40 to 60 of the best (usually senior) scholars in their respective countries as part-time faculty, with only 2 to 6 full-time employees. This employment policy, however, reinforces the reliance on existing intellectual traditions and fields of knowledge. Therefore, new institutions often try to integrate foreign scholarship by inviting visiting professors. On the other hand, the dominance of visiting professorship can limit the stabilization of the disciplinary and training programs. In addition to part-time faculty and visiting professors there is the option of recruiting new scholars on a full-time basis. However, it will take a longer period of time for the new institutions to be able to educate and employ their own faculty.

Each of the four countries maintained or even reinforced the selective nature of their education systems. Higher education in the region enjoys an elite position in general, as students go through a period of selection and tracking at the secondary level and during the transition to higher education. Despite a significant expansion since 1989, only 10 to 15 percent of age-cohorts gain admission to higher education.

The new innovative institutions typically claim additional exclusivity by aiming to go beyond the standard bachelor's-level training. According to their self-design, the elitism in higher education needs to be materialized by educational excellence. Typically, the new institutions used strict admission policies, resulting in the admission of every third or every fifth applicant. As an extreme case, Invisible College admitted, during its first year, 26 students out of the 310 applicants.

Strategies of program development

Innovative leaders need to divide their focus between developing the organization of their newly established institution and solidifying the disciplinary and program structure. However, the latter brings new problems or challenges. The development of new training programs is jeopardized by shaky legal foundations. Governments insist on keeping accreditation in their hands and typically behave inflexibly. Traditional academic institutions are also suspicious about the independent ideas of the new academic institutions. Traditional universities often try to influence changes in the national laws to gain a solid independent statute for themselves.

Institutional innovation is principally aimed at developing brand new programs, sometimes without appropriate experience or professional links to the field. This can, of course, make them more dependent on external professional guidance and foreign guest lectures or "travel agency"-type faculty recruitment. Also, the new institutions may find it difficult to predict the expectations of changing societies-whether, for instance, elite education will retain its traditionally important role, or more applied services will gain new attraction. Furthermore, it is even more difficult to find the intellectual resources to serve those emerging new needs.

Clearly, the involvement of international sources in developing humanities programs is a crucial opportunity for the innovators

Often, these resources are found in the traditional hierarchies of the academic community. The departure from Marxist or simply monolithic curricular and disciplinary frameworks is rarely initiated from the peripheries. Leaders are aware that new academic traditions and fields cannot grow overnight; new institutions need to rely on the scholarship with the highest post-Marxist prestige and recognition of the still monolithic academic system. Typically, all the acknowledged innovative institutions were established or initiated by members of either the central universities or the academies of sciences, usually in the capital cities of the four countries. Only the Program of European Studies was physically established in a peripheral region, and only Academia Istropolitana was designed to offer to substitute for the programs of the main Slovakian universities. A trend toward diversification can often mean in reality restriction and replacement. Also, the new institutions often try, after only a year of existence, to continue to expand in size and range to be attractive for new sources of sponsorship. Expansion can, however, also increase their dependence on external agencies.

Sponsorship strategies

The new innovative efforts in higher education are competing for increasingly scarce sources of support, given the overall economic crisis in the respective countries. The need for sponsorship and funds may be one important reason for using political networks, relying on existing academic sources, having few full-time employees, and building small elite programs. Beyond these general trends, the examined institutions differ in what they consider to be the optimal strategy. Our analysis has helped to gain a few insights into what seems to be less preferred by the institutional leaders.

Since the state budgets and public policies are in the process of restructuring, continued reliance on the predominantly public subsidies may not necessarily promise long-term sustainability for new institutions. The states may serve them best by providing initial investments and subsidized facilities. Diversified private and international sponsorship, on the other hand, can provoke suspicion in the still politicized academic atmosphere as well as among government officials. Money does not always bring more money. Sustainability, successful tapping of foreign sources, or indication of efficient budgeting could even result in jealousy and malevolence in the contested national academic arena. However, a planned deficit, or openness about financial gaps and potential disasters could also backfire in these changing societies. In sum, the optimal financial strategy seems to be based on a fine balance between public and private, national and international sources, transparency and margins for flexible fund raising and financial management.

Clearly, the involvement of international sources in developing humanities programs is a crucial opportunity for the innovators. The new institutions may attract significant foreign, national, private and international financial support as well as professional expertise. The

key problem here for local innovators is how to balance financial and professional support. Once they concentrate "too much" on the first, they could be pressured to make unplanned professional concessions, including hosting too many visiting professors and guest lectures or adopting new programs and functions, dictated by the sponsors.

International cooperation can result in globalizing communication and knowledge, affecting both sides. The "foreign" side has an opportunity to be effective at sites of academic innovation as those have been defined by local innovators. Thus, they also gain more relevant knowledge of changing societies from their professional and disciplinary perspectives. The "local" innovators could also gain international recognition and an opportunity to integrate their scholarship into the mainstreams of academic exchange.

Peter Darvas

EDUCATIONAL CHANGES IN POST-COMMUNIST COUNTRIES: A PERSPECTIVE FROM POLAND

Abstract: The question, whether in Poland is it possible in a short time to create a new pragmatic and progressive educational system based on the unified school that is democratic with unified education and the freedom of choice, is analyzed in this paper. Educational policy has been taken up at the background of political democracy and introducing market economy to the national transformation.

Education in Poland represents a dimension of national life in which certain achievements have been accomplished, certain objectives are yet to be achieved and certain important developments have led to open conflict.

Below, I offer only some of my own thoughts on what seems to me the main deficiencies of such approaches. I come to the conclusion which will not astonish anybody, that – from today's perspective – though education and economy have been very badly matched in Poland, the implemented social policy in the domain of educational policy (i.e. possibility of common educating, participation in national culture) satisfied social demands. Intellectual characterization requires that we still continue this old system. Such unity as various approaches to education have consists mainly in the identification of connections and continuities between the educational system and the capitalist society. Education, is said to reflect, correspond to reproduce capitalism. In Poland the case was different. Despite the realization of the ideological aims of the socialist country the education was also open, showing in a small scale-other possibilities of the individuals self determination i.e., life models. Can we call it as the educational pluralism of that time?

It is very difficult to answer this question. In any case, one cannot say that in recent forty years it was only the reproduction of the ideological assumptions of the system.

It was a result of the specific relations between estate and religion in the field of education in Poland. The situation in that country reflects the importance of the Roman Catholic Church in Polish history as well as its dominant influence within the opposition to the communist regime after 1945. In the pre-war republic, religion, Roman Catholic or otherwise, was a teaching subject in state-run or private schools; after the war its officially regulated status depended on the changing relations between the state and the church